arXiv:1612.03486v1 [math.GT] 11 Dec 2016

ON GROUPS G_n^3 AND IMAGINARY GENERATORS

S.KIM AND V.O.MANTUROV

Abstract. In the present paper, we construct a monomorphism from (Artin) pure braid group PB_n into a group, which is 'bigger' than PB_n. Roughly speaking, this mapping is defined on words of braids by adding 'new generators' between generators of PB_n. By this mapping we can get a new invariant for classical braids. As one of application of this invariant, we will show examples, which are minimal words in PB_n and the minimality can be shown by the invariant.

MSC 57M25, 57M27.

1. Introduction

In the papers [6, 8], the autors initiated the study of groups G_n^k, describing the behaviour of dynamical systems of n particles governed by a certain general position codimension 1 property with respect to k-tuples of particles. The main examples coming from this theory are homomorphisms from the n-strand pure braid group to the groups G_n^3 and G_n^4. It turns out that the standard Artin presentation can also be described as a modification of such a standard presentation of (some subgroup of) G_n^2. Stadard presentations of groups G_n^k have a nice property: each letter in each word of this presentation has lots of "invariants": when applying each of the relations we either get a bijection between similar letters (in this case the corresponding letters have the same invariants), or we get a cancellation of two letters (in this case these two letters have the same values of the invariant). This leads to two obvious invariants.

First,for a given word β from G_n^k and for each generator a_m in β we get 'indices" $i_{a_m}(i)$ valued in the free product of $(k-1)(n-k)$ copies of the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ for each $i \in \{1, \cdots n\} \backslash m$; roughly speaking, we get an invariant by counting the number of generators $a_{m'}$, which occur before a_m in the word β, for each $m' \subset \{1, \cdots n\}$, such that $|m' \cap m| = k-1$ and $i \in m'$.

On the other hand, by using these indices one can construct a homomorphism from the group G_n^k to a free product of the groups $\mathbb{Z}_2$. The non-triviality of the image for this homomorphism can be easily checked and provides a sufficient conditon for the initial braid to be non-trivial. In the present paper, by using a simple intutive construction we show how to associatie with any word in Artin's generator a certain word in a larger set of generators containing the initial word inside (Theorem 2.5). In other words, we get a phenomenon of *imaginary generators* which allows one to *read between letters*: for a word in letters σ_{ij} we can "see" the letters a_{ijk}, placed between σ_{ij}, so tat the equivalence of the initial words in σ_{ij} leads to the equivalence of the resulting words in σ_{ij}, a_{ijk}, see Definiton 2.3. In the algebraic language this is described by means of an injection of a smaller group to a larger

one; the compostion of this homomorphism with the obvious projection is the identity homomorphism. This allows one to use the larger group (a small modificaton of the group G_n^3) as a modification of the small group (actualy, the braid group); which makes it possible to use G_n^3 for constructing invariants of crossings of a classical braid: with each classical crossing we associate a set of invarians which do not change under the third Reidemeister move; if we apply the second Reidemeister move, the crossings which can cancel have the same invariants.

This allows us to "foresee" that some two crossings of a classical braid can not be cancelled. In Section 2, we shall define the group $\widetilde{G}_n^3$, which appears when we splice "classical braid generators" into the group G_n^3. In the Setion 3, we construct a new invariant of pure braids by using $\widetilde{G}_n^3$ by means of a homomorphism from G_n^3 to the free product of some copies of the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ (these homomorphisms lead to evident composite homomorphisms from $\widetilde{G}_n^3$ to the free product mentioned above). In Secton 4, we get a sufficient condition for two adjacent generators b_{ij} and b_{ij}^{-1} not to cancel. In the present paper, we do not pretend to consturct necessary and suficient condition for cancellability; we just give some striking examles when this cancellability is impossible.

In the end of the paper, we give a list of unsolved problems and topics for future discussion.

2. Homomorphisms from classical braids to $\widetilde{G}_n^3$

Denote $\bar{n} := \{1, \cdots, n\}$.

Definition 2.1. [2] *The pure braids group PB_n is the group given by group presentation generated by $\{b_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ subject to the following relations:*

$$b_{rs} b_{ij} b_{rs}^{-1} = \begin{cases} b_{ij}, & \text{if } s < i \text{ or } j < r, \\ b_{is}^{-1} b_{ij} b_{is}, & \text{if } i < j = r < s, \\ b_{ij}^{-1} b_{ir}^{-1} b_{ij} b_{ir} b_{ij}, & \text{if } i < j < r = s, \\ b_{is}^{-1} b_{ir}^{-1} b_{is} b_{ir} b_{ij} b_{ir}^{-1} b_{is}^{-1} b_{ir} b_{is}, & \text{if } i < j < r < s. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2. *The group G_n^3 is the group given by group presentation generated by $\{a_{\{ijk\}} \mid \{i,j,k\} \subset \bar{n}, |\{i,j,k\}| = 3\}$ subject to the following relations:*

1. $a_{\{ijk\}}^2 = 1$ *for* $\{i,j,k\} \subset \bar{n}$,
2. $a_{\{ijk\}} a_{\{stu\}} = a_{\{stu\}} a_{\{ijk\}}$, *for* $|\{i,j,k\} \cap \{s,t,u\}| < 2$,
3. $a_{\{ijk\}} a_{\{ijl\}} a_{\{ikl\}} a_{\{jkl\}} = a_{\{jkl\}} a_{\{ikl\}} a_{\{ijl\}} a_{\{ijk\}}$ *for dictinct* i,j,k,l.

We denote $a_{ijk} := a_{\{ijk\}}$.

Note that $a_{ijk} = a_{jik} = \cdots$, but $b_{ij} \neq b_{ji}$. In [8] V.O.Manturov and I.N.Nikonov worked on that braids on n strands can be considered as dynamical systems, in which n distinct points move on the plane. Roughly speaking, the image of a braid in the group G_n^3 can be obtained by reading every moment, in which three points (i,j,k) are placed on the same line. More precisely, the homomorphism ϕ_n from PB_n to G_n^3 is defined by

$$\phi_n(b_{ij}) = (c_{i,i+1})^{-1} (c_{i,i+2})^{-1} \cdots (c_{i,j-1})^{-1} (c_{i,j})^2 c_{i,j-1} \cdots c_{i,i+2} c_{i,i+1}, \tag{2.1}$$

for each generator b_{ij} of the group PB_n, $i,j \in \bar{n}, i < j$, where

$$c_{i,k} = \prod_{l=k+1}^{n} a_{ikl} \prod_{l=1}^{k-1} a_{ikl}, \tag{2.2}$$

for $k \in \{i+1, \cdots, j\}$.

Definition 2.3. *The group $\widetilde{G}_n^3$ is given by group presentation generated by $\{a_{\{ijk\}} \mid \{i,j,k\} \subset \bar{n}, |\{i,j,k\}| = 3\}$ and $\{\sigma_{ij} \mid i,j \in \{1,\ldots,n\}, |\{i,j\}| = 2\}$ subject to the following relations:*

(a) $a_{\{ijk\}}^2 = 1$ *for* $\{i,j,k\} \subset \{1,\cdots,n\}$, $|\{i,j,k\}| = 3$,
(b) $a_{\{ijk\}}a_{\{stu\}} = a_{\{stu\}}a_{\{ijk\}}$, *if* $|\{i,j,k\} \cap \{s,t,u\}| < 2$,
(c) $a_{\{ijk\}}a_{\{ijl\}}a_{\{ikl\}}a_{\{jkl\}} = a_{\{jkl\}}a_{\{ikl\}}a_{\{ijl\}}a_{\{ijk\}}$ *for dictinct* i,j,k,l,
(d) $\sigma_{ij}\sigma_{kl} = \sigma_{kl}\sigma_{ij}$ *for dictinct* i,j,k,l,
(e) $\sigma_{ij}a_{\{stu\}} = a_{\{stu\}}\sigma_{ij}$, *if* $|\{i,j\} \cap \{s,t,u\}| < 2$,
(f) $a_{\{ijk\}}\sigma_{ij}\sigma_{ik}\sigma_{jk} = \sigma_{jk}\sigma_{ik}\sigma_{ij}a_{\{ijk\}}$ *for dictinct* i,j,k,
(g) $\sigma_{ij}a_{\{ijk\}}\sigma_{ik}\sigma_{jk} = \sigma_{jk}\sigma_{ik}a_{\{ijk\}}\sigma_{ij}$ *for dictinct* i,j,k,
(h) $\sigma_{ij}\sigma_{ik}a_{\{ijk\}}\sigma_{jk} = \sigma_{jk}a_{\{ijk\}}\sigma_{ik}\sigma_{ij}$ *for dictinct* i,j,k,
(i) $\sigma_{ij}\sigma_{ik}\sigma_{jk}a_{\{ijk\}} = a_{\{ijk\}}\sigma_{jk}\sigma_{ik}\sigma_{ij}$ *for dictinct* i,j,k.

We denote $a_{ijk} \sim a_{\{ijk\}}$; notice that $\sigma_{ij} \neq \sigma_{jk}$.

Following [8], braids can be presented by dynamical systems, in which points move. But we consider braids on n strands as n moving points with one additional fixed (infinite) point. Let us define a mapping from PB_n to $\widetilde{G}_n^3$. Now we consider pure braids as moving n points on upper semi-disk. As the above, mapping from PB_n to $\widetilde{G}_n^3$ will be defined by "reading" moments when some three points are on the same line, which is analogous to the construction of mapping from PB_n to G_n^3. Let n enumerated points $P = \{p_1, \cdots, p_n\}$ be placed on semicircle $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1, Imz \geq 0\}$ in numerated order with respect to the courter-clockwise orientation. Let us place one more (infinite) point p_∞ in the center of semicircle. When the points move, if three points $\{p_i, p_j, p_k\} \subset P$ are on the same line, we write the generator a_{ijk}. If points p_j, p_i, p_∞ are on the same directed line from p_∞ in this order and the point p_i passes the directed line from left to right, then we write the generator σ_{ij}, see Fig. 1. For $i,j \in \bar{n}, i < j$ define

$$c_{i,j} = (\prod_{k=j+1}^{n} a_{ijk})\sigma_{ij}^{-1}(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{ijk}), \tag{2.3}$$

$$c_{i,j}^{-} = (\prod_{k=j+1}^{n} a_{ijk})\sigma_{ij}(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{ijk}), \tag{2.4}$$

$$c_{j,i} = (\prod_{k=j+1}^{n} a_{ijk})\sigma_{ji}^{-1}(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{ijk}), \tag{2.5}$$

$$c_{j,i}^{-} = (\prod_{k=j+1}^{n} a_{ijk})\sigma_{ji}(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{ijk}). \tag{2.6}$$

Then the mapping $\widetilde{\phi}$ from PB_n to $\widetilde{G}_n^3$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{\phi}(b_{ij}) = c_{i,i+1}^{-1}c_{i,i+2}^{-1}\cdots c_{i,j-1}^{-1}c_{i,j}^{-}c_{j,i}^{-}c_{i,j-1}\cdots c_{i,i+2}c_{i,i+1}. \tag{2.7}$$



FIGURE 1. Generators related for each cases

Example 2.4. *Let $n = 6$, $i = 2, j = 4$ and points are placed on semi-circle shown in Fig. 2. By reading every triple points, we obtain the following word in the group $\widetilde{G}_6^3$:*

$$\widetilde{\phi}(b_{24}) = a_{123}\sigma_{23}a_{236}a_{235}a_{234}a_{234}a_{124}\sigma_{24}a_{246}a_{245}a_{234}a_{124}\sigma_{42}a_{246}a_{245}a_{234}a_{235}a_{236}\sigma_{23}^{-1}a_{123},$$

see Fig. 3. By definition, we obtain

$$\widetilde{\phi}(b_{24}) = c_{23}^{-1}c_{24}^{-}c_{42}^{-}c_{23}. \tag{2.8}$$

The sets of codimension 1 is related to generators, and the sets of codimension 2 are related to relations. They are analogous to those from [8] in the case of (n+1) points. In this work, if a point passes to triple point, which contains the infinite point (∞), then it is possible to give an additional information and write one of generators not of $a_{ij\infty}$, but of $\sigma_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}^{-1}, \sigma_{ji}$ or σ_{ji}^{-1}. This is the main idea to prove the theorem given below, but this theorem differs from the main theorem in [8], roughly speaking, the image has more generators and relations than the image in [8].

Theorem 2.5. *The mapping $\widetilde{\phi}$ from PB_n to $\widetilde{G}_n^3$, defined by (2.7), is a homomorphism. In other words, if pure braids β, β' are equivalent in PB_n, then $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta) = \widetilde{\phi}(\beta')$ in $\widetilde{G}_n^3$.*



FIGURE 2. A generator b_{24} in PB_6 and its dynamical system

Proof. Let $z_k = e^{\pi i(n-k)/(n-1)}$, $k = 1, \cdots, n$, be points on semicircle $C = \{z = a+bi \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1, b \geq 0\}$. Pure braids can be considered as dynamical systems, in which points move on the plane such that the position of points in the start and in the end are same as asserted in the above. Now we clearly formulate the image of each generator in PB_n. For $i < j$ a generator b_{ij} can be considers as the following dynamical system (see Fig. 4):

(1) The point z_i moves along the semi-circle C passes beside $z_{i+1}, z_{i+2}, \cdots, z_{j-1}$ to the point z_j.
(2) The point z_i turn around z_j in the counter-clockwise orientation.
(3) The point z_i comes back to the initial position beside $z_{j-1}, \cdots, z_{i+1}$.

When three points are placed on the same straight line, we write one of generators of $\widetilde{G}_n^3$ with respect to the rules, which are shown in Fig. 1. In the end of the process, we obtain a word

$$\widetilde{\phi}(b_{ij}) = c_{i,i+1}^{-1} c_{i,i+2}^{-1} \cdots c_{i,j-1}^{-1} c_{i,j}^{-} c_{j,i}^{-} c_{i,j-1} \cdots c_{i,i+2} c_{i,i+1}.$$

Now we will show that if two pure braids β and β' are equivalent in PB_n, then $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta)$ and $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta')$ are equivalent in $\widetilde{G}_n^3$. Firstly, we introduce the following two definitions: a dynamical system is *nice,* if the following holds:

P1: If points are placed on the same straight line, then the number of the points is less than or equal to 3.
P2: For each moment there is at most one triple of points $\{p_i, p_j, p_k\}$, which are collinear. If such a triple of point happens, we call it the *critical moment of type* $\{i, j, k\}$.
P3: The number of critical moments is finite.

A dynamical system D is called *stable*, if it satisfies the followings:

C1: Every dynamical system D' in the neighborhood $U(D)$ of D (that is, D' is obtained from D by transforming D) is nice,



FIGURE 3. The diagram of the image of b_{24} along $\widetilde{\phi}$

C2: For each dynamical system D' in $U(D)$, $\widetilde{\phi}(D) = \widetilde{\phi}(D')$.

Without loss of generality we may assume that the pure braids have the forms of nice stable dynamical system.

Let $\{\beta_t\}_{t\in I}$ be a isotopy such that $\beta_0 = \beta$ and $\beta_1 = \beta'$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{\beta_t\}_{t\in I}$ satisfies the followings:

(1) For every $t \in (t_0, t_1) \subset I$, if β_t is stable and nice, then the set of critical moments of β_t changes continuously.

(2) In I there are finite $s_0 \in I$ such that β_{s_0} is not nice or not stable. In other words, β_{s_0} satisfies one of the followings;

A: Suppose that there are three points $\{z_i, z_j, z_k\} \subset \{z_1 \cdots z_n\}$, such that the points $\{z_i, z_j, z_k\}$ are on the same line in the moment t and they are still on the same line in the first approximation centered at t. For some $\epsilon > 0$, the word $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta_{s_0-\epsilon})$ has the form of $Fa_{ijk}a_{ijk}B$, but $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta_{s_0+\epsilon})$ has the form of FB (see. Fig. 6). That is, when β_t passes the



FIGURE 4. The dynamical systems associating with b_{ij} and c_{ik}

moment β_{s_0}, $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta_{s_0+\epsilon})$ is obtained from $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta_{s_0-\epsilon})$ by the relation (a) in Definition 2.3.

B: Suppose that four points are on the same line in the moment β_{s_0} (see. Fig. 7). If they have not the infinite point, then for some $\epsilon > 0$, $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta_{s_0-\epsilon})$ contains a product of $a_{ijk}, a_{ijl}, a_{ikl}, a_{jkl}$ in some order, $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta_{s_0+\epsilon})$ have the product of $a_{ijk}, a_{ijl}, a_{ikl}, a_{jkl}$ in the reverse order. When t is changed, $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta_{s_0+\epsilon})$ is obtained from $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta_{s_0-\epsilon})$ by the relation (c) in Definition 2.3.
If one of the four points is the infinite point, then for some $\epsilon > 0$, the word $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta_{s_0-\epsilon})$ has a product of $a_{ijk}, \sigma_{ij}, \sigma_{ik}, \sigma_{jk}$ in some order, and the word $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta_{s_0+\epsilon})$ has the product of $a_{ijk}, \sigma_{ij}, \sigma_{ik}, \sigma_{jk}$ in the reverse order. When β_t passes the moment β_{s_0}, the word $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta_{s_0+\epsilon})$ is obtained from the word $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta_{s_0-\epsilon})$ by the relations (f),(g),(h),(i) of relations of the group $\widetilde{G}^3_n$ in Definition 2.3.

C: Suppose that two sets m and m' of three points on the lines l and l' respectively in the moment s_0, such that $|m \cap m'| < 2$ (see. Fig. 8). Then $\widetilde{\phi}(\beta_t)$ is changed according to one of the relations (b),(d),(e) of relations of the group $\widetilde{G}^3_n$ in Definition 2.3.

As the above, we can rewrite every type of deformations (codimension 2), which correspond to general position isotopies between two braids. Passing those moments which are either not good or not stable, the word is deformed by one of relations of the group $\widetilde{G}^3_n$ and the proof is completed.

□

3. Homomorphisms from $\widetilde{G}^3_n$ to G^3_{n+1}

Define the homomorphism $pr : \widetilde{G}^3_n \to G^3_n$ by



FIGURE 5. The diagram of c_{ij}^{-1}

$$pr(a_{ijk}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \infty \in \{i,j,k\}, \\ a_{ijk}, & \text{if } \infty \notin \{i,j,k\}. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

The proposition below follows from the definition of pr:

Proposition 3.1. $pr \circ \widetilde{\phi} = \phi$.



FIGURE 6. Case A



FIGURE 7. Case B



FIGURE 8. Case C

Now we define homomorphism i from G_n^3 to $\widetilde{G}_n^3$ by $i(a_{ijk}) = a_{ijk}$. Then $i \circ pr = Id_{G_n^3}$.

Besides, we define the homorphism π from $\widetilde{G}_n^3$ to G_{n+1}^3 by $\pi(a_{ijk}) = a_{ijk}$ and $\pi(\sigma_{ij}) = a_{ij(n+1)}$. In [8] V.O.Manturov and I.M.Nikonov studied homomorphisms from G_n^k to the free product of copies of groups $\mathbb{Z}_2$. This, in turn, leads to the

homomorphism $w_{ijk} : G_n^3 \to F_n^3$ is constructed, where

$$F_n^3 = \langle \{\sigma \mid \sigma : \{1,2,\cdots n\}\backslash\{i,j,k\} \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2\} \mid \{\sigma^2 = 1\}\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{*2^{2(n-3)}}.$$

For each generator a_{ijk} in $\beta = Fa_{ijk}B \in G_n^k$, let us define the mapping $i_{a_{ijk}} : \bar{n}\backslash\{i,j,k\} \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ by

$$i_c(l) = (N_{jkl} + N_{ijl}, N_{ikl} + N_{ijl}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

for $l \in \bar{n}\backslash\{i,j,k\}$, where N_{jkl} is the number of occurencies of a_{ikl} in F. We call $i_{a_{ijk}}$ "index" of a_{ijk} in β. Let $\{c_1, \cdots, c_m\}$ be the ordered set of all a_{ijk} in β such that if $\beta = T_l c_l B_l$, then $c_s \in T_l$ for $s < l$. Define $w_{ijk} : G_n^3 \to F_n^3$ by

$$w_{(i,j,k)}(\beta) = i_{c_1} i_{c_2} \cdots i_{c_m}.$$

Note that if generators on the right hand side have indices, then we can define indices for generators on the left hand side. In other words, if we get a homomorphism $x : G \to H$ for generators of the group G and H, and if indices i for generators in the group H are defined,

$$\cdots a \cdots \to \cdots x(a) \cdots$$

then the indices j for generators in G can be defined by

$$j(a) := i(x(a)).$$

That is, for a generator b in $\widetilde{G_n^3}$, the index j_b can be defined by means of G_{n+1}^3 as follow:

$$j_b(l) := i_{\pi(b)}(l),$$

for each $l \in \overline{n+1}\backslash\{i,j,k\}$. Analogously we define the homomorphism from $\widetilde{G_n^3}$ to the free product of copies of groups $\mathbb{Z}_2$ as follow:

$$\widetilde{w}_{ijk} := w_{(i,j,k)} \circ \pi : \widetilde{G_n^3} \to G_{n+1}^3 \to Z_2^{*2^{2((n+1)-3)}}.$$

Example 3.2. *For a braid $b_{24} \in PB_6$,*
$\pi\circ\widetilde{\phi}(b_{24}) = \pi(a_{123}\sigma_{23}a_{236}a_{235}a_{124}\underline{\sigma_{24}}a_{246}a_{245}a_{234}a_{124}\underline{\sigma_{42}}a_{246}a_{245}a_{234}a_{235}a_{236}\sigma_{23}^{-1}a_{123})$
$= a_{123}a_{237}a_{236}a_{235}a_{124}\underline{a_{247}}a_{246}a_{245}a_{234}a_{124}\underline{a_{247}}a_{246}a_{245}a_{234}a_{235}a_{236}a_{237}a_{123}$.
Then

$$i_{a_{247}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } i_{a_{247}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $i_c = (i_c(1), i_c(3), i_c(5), i_c(6))$. We define indices j for generators σ_{24} and σ_{42} with respect to i.

$$j_{\sigma_{24}} := i_{a_{247}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } j_{\sigma_{42}} := i_{a_{247}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

4. Non-cancellable generators b_{ij} and b_{ij}^{-1}

We will use the group $\widetilde{G_n^3}$ to know whether two classical crossing cannot be canceled or not.

Example 4.1. *Let $\beta = [b_{12}, b_{13}]$ in PB_3.*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \circ \widetilde{\phi}(\beta) &= \pi(\sigma_{12}a_{123}\sigma_{12}a_{123}\sigma_{12}\sigma_{13}a_{123}\sigma_{13}a_{123}\sigma_{12}^{-1}a_{123}\sigma_{12}^{-1}\sigma_{13}^{-1}a_{123}\sigma_{13}^{-1}\sigma_{12}^{-1}) \\ &= a_{124}a_{123}a_{124}a_{123}a_{124}a_{134}a_{123}a_{134}a_{123}a_{124}a_{123}a_{124}a_{134}a_{123}a_{134}a_{124} \end{aligned}$$

in G_4^3. Then

$$\widetilde{w}_{123}(\widetilde{\phi}(\beta)) = (1,0)(0,0)(1,1)(1,0)(0,0)(1,1) \neq 1$$

in F_4^3, where $i_{a_{123}}(4) = (N_{124}+N_{234}, N_{134}+N_{234})$ mod 2, and β is non trivial braid in PB_3. Note that the braid β is Brunnian, and $w_{ijk}\circ\phi(\beta) = 1$ for Brunnian braids β, that is, $w_{123}(\phi(\beta)) = 1$ (Theorem 3.4 from [5]).

Theorem 4.2. *For pure braids in the form of $\beta = Ab_{ij}Bb_{ij}^{-1}C \in PB_n$, where $A, B, C \in PB_n$, if the total number of b_{ik} and b_{jk} in B is odd, and there are no b_{ij} and b_{ij}^{-1} in B, then b_{ij} and b_{ij}^{-1} cannot be canceled by relations of PB_n.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $i<j<k$. Note that $\widetilde{w}_{ijk}\circ\widetilde{\phi}(\beta) = \widetilde{w}_{ijk}\circ\widetilde{\phi}(f_{ijk}(\beta))$, where $f: PB_n \to PB_n$ is the endomorphism defined by

$$f_{ijk}(b_{st}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |\{s,t\}\cap\{i,j,k\}| \neq 2, \\ b_{st}, & \text{if } |\{s,t\}\cap\{i,j,k\}| = 2. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

The homomorphism $\widetilde{w}_{ijk}$ is valued on the free product of copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and it follows that $\widetilde{w}_{ijk}\circ\widetilde{\phi}(f_{ijk}(B)) = 1$ for two generators b_{ij} and b_{ij}^{-1} to be cancelled. By the assumption we have $f_{ijk}(B) = c_1\cdots c_k$ for $k \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, where $c_i \in \{b_{ik}, b_{ik}^{-1}, b_{jk}, b_{jk}^{-1}\}$. Now we calculate $\widetilde{w}_{ijk}\circ\widetilde{\phi}(b_{ij}) = w_{ijk}\circ\pi\circ\widetilde{\phi}(b_{ij})$, where $i_{a_{ijk}}(n+1) = (a_{ij(n+1)}+a_{jk(n+1)}, a_{ik(n+1)}+a_{jk(n+1)}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2\times\mathbb{Z}_2$. By the definition it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi\circ\widetilde{\phi}(b_{ij}) &= \pi(c_{i,i+1}^{-1}\cdots c_{i,j-1}^{-1}c_{i,j}^{-}c_{j,i}^{-}c_{i,j-1}\cdots c_{i,j-1}) \\ &= c_{i,i+1}^{-1}\cdots c_{i,j-1}^{-1}c_{i,j}c_{i,j}c_{i,j-1}\cdots c_{i,j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In the words $c_{i,j}$,$c_{i,k}$,$c_{j,k}$ there are generators a_{ijk}, $a_{ij(n+1)}$, $a_{ik(n+1)}$, $a_{jk(n+1)}$, but they are not in $c_{s,t}$ for $\{s,t\}\cap\{i,j,k\} < 2$. Therefore $c_{s,t}$ for $\{s,t\}\cap\{i,j,k\} < 2$ do not affect to $i_{a_{ijk}}(n+1)$, and we focus on $w_{ijk}(c_{i,j}c_{i,j})$. Then

$$w_{ijk}(c_{i,j}c_{i,j}) = a_{ij(j+1)}\cdots a_{ijk}\cdots a_{ij(n+1)}a_{1ij}\cdots a_{ij(i-1)}a_{ij(j+1)}\cdots a_{ijk}\cdots a_{ij(n+1)}a_{1ij}\cdots a_{ij(i-1)},$$

and there are exactly two a_{ijk}, denote them by c_1 and c_2. From simple calculations, we obtain that $w_{ijk}\circ\pi\circ\widetilde{\phi}(b_{ij}) = i_{c_1}i_{c_2}$, where

$$i_{c_1}(n+1) = (0,0), i_{c_2}(n+1) = (1,0).$$

Analogously, we can get that $\widetilde{w}_{ijk}\circ\widetilde{\phi}(b_{ik}) = i_{c_1}i_{c_2}i_{c_3}i_{c_4}$, where

$$i_{c_1}(n+1) = (1,0), i_{c_2}(n+1) = (1,1), i_{c_3}(n+1) = (1,0), i_{c_4}(n+1) = (1,0),$$

and $\widetilde{w}_{ijk}\circ\widetilde{\phi}(b_{jk}) = i_{c_1}i_{c_2}$, where

$$i_{c_1}(n+1) = (1,1), i_{c_2}(n+1) = (0,0).$$

That is, the images of the homomorphism $w_{ijk}\circ\pi\circ\widetilde{\phi}$ of $\{b_{ij}, b_{ik}, b_{jk}\}$ have different values. It is easy to show that if $w_{ijk}\circ\pi\circ\widetilde{\phi}(P) = 1$ for a product P of $\{b_{ij}, b_{ik}, b_{jk}\}$, then P is the product of words $(b_{ij}b_{ik}b_{jk})^{\pm1}$ and $(b_{jk}b_{ik}b_{ij})^{\pm1}$ up to relations in PB_n. But $k \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, and there are no b_{ij} in B,

$$\widetilde{w}_{ijk}\circ\widetilde{\phi}(f_{ijk}(B)) = \widetilde{w}_{ijk}\circ\widetilde{\phi}(c_1\cdots c_k) \neq 1,$$

and hence b_{ij} and b_{ij}^{-1} cannot be cancelled. □

Remark 4.3. *Let β be a braid on n strands and let two strands i and j be fixed. Let c_1, c_2 be classical crossings $\sigma_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}^{-1}$ between i and j strands. Is it possible for c_1 and c_2 in β to be cancelled? To answer the question, we need to use indices for crossings c_1, c_2.*

As asserted in the previous section, the indices are defined by the homomorphism from PB_n to $\widetilde{G}_n^3$. That is, to obtain the "local" information (indices for crossings) we use "the global" information (homomorphisms $\widetilde{w}_{ijk}$). As Theorem 4.2 indices (obstruction to reducing) work in groups G_n^k.

In the simplest case, G_n^2, "an algorithm of descending" takes place, in other words, if a word β, which is obtained from G_n^2 and is not minimal, then there must be two "cancellable" crossings, i.e. $\beta = Xa_{ij}Ya_{ij}Z$, and β can be converted into $\beta' = X\tilde{Y}a_{ij}a_{ij}Z$ by relations of G_n^2, without change of the length of the word, and in the final word β' there are two crossings a_{ij}, which are directly reduced. Step by step, we obtain a word of minimal length. Moreover, if two words β' and β'' obtained from a word β have the minimal length, then there is a sequence S of relations between β' and β'' such that every word, which is obtained by a subsequence of S from β', does not have reducing crossings. It means that Diamond lemma holds for the standard group presentation of G_n^2. In [7] *this is proved by means of the Coxeter groups.*

In the case of groups G_n^3 the Diamond lemma does not take place: there is an element, which has two different representatives of the minimal length in the group G_4^3. For example, let words

$$a_{123}a_{124}a_{134}a_{234}a_{234}a_{124}a_{134}a_{123} \text{ and } a_{234}a_{134}a_{124}a_{123}a_{123}a_{134}a_{123}a_{234}$$

in the group G_4^3. They are equivalent by the following sequence of deformations

$$\begin{aligned} a_{123}a_{124}a_{134}a_{124}a_{134}a_{123} &= a_{123}a_{124}a_{134}a_{234}a_{234}a_{124}a_{134}a_{123} \\ &= a_{234}a_{134}a_{124}a_{123}a_{123}a_{134}a_{123}a_{234} \\ &= a_{234}a_{134}a_{124}a_{134}a_{123}a_{234}. \end{aligned}$$

To the words $a_{123}a_{124}a_{134}a_{124}a_{134}a_{123}$ and $a_{234}a_{134}a_{124}a_{134}a_{123}a_{234}$ any relations from Definition 2.2 cannot be applied except for relations $a_{ijk}^2 = 1$, that is, they have minimal lengths. But, without relations $a_{ijk}^2 = 1$, the word $a_{123}a_{124}a_{134}a_{124}a_{134}a_{123}$, cannot be deformed to the word $a_{234}a_{134}a_{124}a_{134}a_{123}a_{234}$.

It is well-known that in Artin presentation of the classical braids group, the representative of the minimal length is not unique. For example, it is related to handle reductions, which play important role in the algorithm Dehornoy [3].

It is interested to study connections between handle reductions for classical braids and the phenomenon in G_4^3 as the above.

References

[1] J.Birman, K.H.Ko, S.J.Lee, *A new approach to the word and conjugacy problems in the braid groups,* Advances in Mathematics 139, 32235 (1998).

[2] D.C.Cohen, M.Falk, R.Randell, *Pure braid groups are not residually free*, Configuration Spaces(Geometry, Combinatorics and Topology), 2012, pages 213-230.

[3] P. Dehornoy, *A Fast Method for Comparing Braids,* Advances in Mathematics Volume 125, Issue 2, 10 February 1997, Pages 200-235.

[4] R.Fenn, R.Rimányi, C.Rourke, *The braid-permutation group*, Topology, Volueme 36, Issue 1, January 1997, Pages 123-135.

[5] S.Kim, V.O.Manturov, *On groups Gnk, braids and Brunnian braids*, arXiv:1606.03563v2 [math.GT] 14 Jun 2016.

[6] V.O.Manturov, *Non-Reidemeister knot theory and its applications in dynamical systems, geometry, and topology,* arXiv:1501.05208v1 [math.GT] 21 Jan 2015.
[7] V.O.Manturov, *On groups G_n^2 and Coxeter groups,* arXiv:1512.09273v1 [math.GT] 31 Dec 2015.
[8] V.O.Manturov, I.M.Nikonov, *On braids and groups G_n^k,* Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramification, 2015, vol. 24, 1541009.

Department of Fundamental Sciences, Bauman Moscow State Technical University, Moscow, Russia, ksj19891120@gmail.com

Chelyabinsk State University and Bauman Moscow State Technical University, Moscow, Russia, vomanturov@yandex.ru